

## Your Feedback – Boards 4 and 5

Thank you for visiting our Neighbourhood Plan Drop-In event today.

Boards 4 and 5 seek your views on potential designations.

Please complete this form to provide us feedback on those specific topic areas and return it in the box provided.

**Board 4 – Area of Local Landscape Sensitivity** No - too focussed on one place. Should have areas which will create a 'green belt' effect and protect the development from major expansion in any direction.

The rural landscape should enclose the village and separate from other (also expanding) developments.

NB. Not sure how much teeth these designations have - development permission given at appeal for a property on special landscape area and sadly supported by TPC + some villagers.

### Board 4 – Village Setting

Do you agree that the identified views are important? Yes but given that the areas of special landscape can be built on not sure how you get protection for a view?

Are there any important views from publicly accessible areas that have been missed?

Anything which alters the road frontage as even changing natural landscaping (hedges, trees etc) for hard landscaping and modern materials changes the village setting - rural becomes urban.

### Board 5 – Local Green Spaces

Do you agree with the proposed Local Green Spaces?

Yes

Have we missed any that meet the criteria?

Could it include some of the grass verges and the triangles at road divisions? These green areas are safe havens for pedestrians / dogwalkers / horse riders etc when crossing the village. With more traffic they are even more vital part of the village character.

### Board 5 – Locally Important Buildings

Do you agree with the proposed Locally Important Buildings?

Yes but assume this is in addition to Listed buildings.

Have we missed any that meet the criteria?

Village Hall?

Planning should consider not just the value of these buildings to the village but aim to protect the heritage setting / outlook / character of the buildings themselves.

I have seen centuries old properties

PLEASE ADD ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON THE BACK

All comments are anonymous

P.T.O.

choked by modern development. The spaces between are almost as important as the buildings themselves.

Other Comments :-

Survey results unreliable -

Q4 of the survey 'Accepting the probability that housing development will occur ...'

was structured in an unanswerable format. Should have included a Q to state if your preference was for no additional housing.

Eg. Q4 one may be supportive of small estate style development but not supportive of backfill

Q3 One may support low rate new housing but not infill

Q5 One may emphatically reject green field development but accept a large estate development should it not encroach into greenfield land.

The answers mixed up different views!

Having read the information my biggest point is.

PLEASE INCLUDE THE CURRENT 20 HOUSE PROPOSAL ON THE KERRISON SITE IN YOUR PLAN so that if it is granted we are not doubling our quota for proposed housing. I do not want any more housing in the village but 20 smaller homes on a brown field site is better than any or all of this plans proposed greenfield/garden sites.

## Your Feedback – Boards 4 and 5

Thank you for visiting our Neighbourhood Plan Drop-In event today.  
Boards 4 and 5 seek your views on potential designations.  
Please complete this form to provide us feedback on those specific topic areas and return it in the box provided.

### Board 4 – Area of Local Landscape Sensitivity

Do you agree with the proposed designation?

NO - RESTRICTED TO ONE AREA ONLY

Do you have any comments about the area identified?

- AREA IDENTIFIED ONLY EXTENDS AN EXISTING SLA (ONLY PROTECTS ONE SIDE)
- SURROUNDING OTHER LANDSCAPES SURROUNDING THE VILLAGE SETTLEMENT SHOULD BE IDENTIFIED TO PROTECT THEM. (I.E. PROTECT GREEN FIELDS + HEDGES IN OTHER AREAS)

### Board 4 – Village Setting

Do you agree that the identified views are important?

YES

Are there any important views from publicly accessible areas that have been missed?

- AS WELL AS THE IDENTIFIED VIEWS IT IS ABSOLUTELY CRITICAL THAT WE DO NOT LOSE THE RURAL CHARACTER OF THE VILLAGE (E.G. WE NEED TO PROTECT THE LANDSCAPES OF ROAD FRONTING + POPPLES, WHICH HEDGES + OUTBUILDINGS ARE BEING REMOVED) + REMOVED WITH HARD LANDSCAPING.

Board 5 – Local Green Spaces

Do you agree with the proposed Local Green Spaces?

VILLAGE SETTING IS BECOMING MORE URBAN AND LOSS RURAL.

Have we missed any that meet the criteria?

- DOES NOT INCLUDE GREEN VERGES WHICH CONTRIBUTE TO GREEN SPACE OVERALL.
- SHOULD ADD GREEN TRIANGLES ETC.

### Board 5 – Locally Important Buildings

Do you agree with the proposed Locally Important Buildings?

YES - BUT NEEDS TO INCLUDE THE AREAS AROUND THEM!

Have we missed any that meet the criteria?

- YES - DOES NOT HAVE VILLAGE HALL
- NO MENTION OF LISTED BUILDINGS BEING REDUCED IN VALUE OF CHARACTER / SETTING BY OUT OF SCALE DEVELOPMENT IN THE CURTILAGE OR VIEW. SO GENERAL POINT BEING ITS NOT JUST THE BUILDING BUT ALSO THE IMMEDIATE CHARACTER.

PLEASE ADD ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON THE BACK

All comments are anonymous

\*PTO

## HOUSING LOCATION - FUTURE LOCATIONS.

- ON FUTURE HOUSING ALLOCATION THINK KEY POINT IS TO IDENTIFY PRINCIPLES NOT SITES AS SUCH. (

- THE PLAN DOES NOT MAKE IT CLEAR THAT BROWNFIELD SHOULD BE PREFERABLE (OVER GREEN)

- THE PLAN DOES NOT SAY GREEN FIELD SHOULD BE LAST

### RESORT

- THE PLAN DOES NOT SAY BANK FILLING SHOULD NOT BE ENCOURAGED

- THEREFORE THE SITE CURRENTLY BEING PROPOSED BY KERRISON IS ACTUALLY MORE LOGICAL THAN 20 INDIVIDUAL GREENFIELD / GARDEN DEVELOPMENTS. ( <sup>IF ITS</sup> BROWNFIELD + SMALLER HOUSES <sup>RATHER</sup> THAN MANY LARGE GREENFIELD OVERSIZED HOUSES )

\* AS SUCH IF A NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN MUST IDENTIFY SITES THEN WOULD SUGGEST KERRISON PHASE 2 IS ADDED TO AVOID THESE.  
NOT COUNTING TOWARDS ANY NOTIONAL ALLOCATION FROM MSDC.

- MOST OF THE SITE ASSESSMENTS ARE ACTUALLY GREENFIELD !!

~~REPLY~~

~~REPLY~~

# 12. Preferred Sites

Which site or sites do you think we should identify for development in the Neighbourhood Plan?

Use sticky dots to indicate your preferences

	Should be developed	Should not be developed
Site 1		This has been a dangerous road for the last 60 years and nothing has been done to improve the sharp bends, it is an unsafe location.
Site 2		
Site 3		
Site 4		This is too large a development with poor access. Many vehicles using this area now.
Site 5		
Site 6		Visual impact entering village. Alter character of area. No new properties in that immediate area.
Site 7		
Site 8		

# 12. Preferred Sites

Which site or sites do you think we should identify for development in the Neighbourhood Plan?

Please tick to indicate your preferences

	Should be developed	Should not be developed
Site 1		
Site 2		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MANY BROAD LEAVED TREES PROVIDING IMPORTANT HABITAT TO MUCH BIRD LIFE, INCLUDING WOODPECKERS
Site 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Site 4		
Site 5		
Site 6		
Site 7	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Site 8		

# 6. Building Design

## Design Guidance analysis carried out by independent consultants

The Neighbourhood Plan aims to develop design guidelines for future development, to retain and enhance the character of the village and protect the rural, tranquil character and scenic beauty of the area as a whole.

As part of the Government funded Neighbourhood Plan support package, independent consultants have prepared a Design Guide for new development in the village.

Based on established good practice, the AECOM Design Report provides a number of questions against which the design proposal should be evaluated.

The aim is to assess all proposals by objectively answering the questions below.

Not all the questions will apply to every development.

The relevant ones, however, should provide an assessment as to whether the design proposal has taken into account the context and provided an adequate design solution.

Using 5 red dots and 5 green dots identify what you consider to be the most important and least important criteria

Development Proposals and Designs should:		Most important	Least important
1	Integrate with existing paths, streets, circulation networks and patterns of activity		
2	Reinforce or enhance the established village character of streets, greens, and other spaces	●	
3	Respect the rural character of views and gaps	●	
4	Harmonise and enhance existing settlement in terms of physical form, architecture and land use		
5	Relate well to local topography and landscape features, including prominent ridge lines and long distance views	●	
6	Reflect, respect, and reinforce local architecture and historic distinctiveness	●	
7	Retain and incorporate important existing features into the development		
8	Respect surrounding buildings in terms of scale, height, form and massing	●	
9	Adopt contextually appropriate materials and details		
10	Provide adequate open space for the development in terms of both quantity and quality		
11	Incorporate necessary services and drainage infrastructure without causing unacceptable harm to retained features		
12	Ensure all components e.g. buildings, landscapes, access routes, parking and open space are well related to each other		
13	Make sufficient provision for sustainable waste management (including facilities for kerbside collection, waste separation, and minimisation where appropriate) without adverse impact on the street scene, the local landscape or the amenities of neighbours		
14	Positively integrate energy efficient technologies		